編號:\_\_\_\_\_

問題	1:10分	2:10分	3: 10分	4:10分	5:10分	總分:100分
得分						
問題	6:10分	7:10分	8:10分	9:10分	10:10分	
得分						

1. Let X and Y be random variables with finite means. Find a function  $g^*(X)$  such that

$$\min_{g(X)} E[(Y - g(X))^2] = E[(Y - g^*(X))^2],$$

where g(x) ranges over all functions.

解答:

$$E[Y - g(X)]^{2} = E[(Y - E[Y|X]) + (E[Y|X] - g(X))]^{2}$$
  
=  $E[Y - E[Y|X]]^{2} + E[E[Y|X] - g(X)]^{2}$   
+  $2E[(Y - E[Y|X])(E[Y|X] - g(X))]$ 

The cross term can be shown to be zero by iterating the expectation. Thus

$$E[Y - g(X)]^{2} = E[Y - E[Y|X]]^{2} + E[E[Y|X] - g(X)]^{2}$$
  

$$\geq E[Y - E[Y|X]]^{2}, \text{ for all } g(\cdot).$$

The choice  $g^*(X) = E[Y|X]$  will give equality.

2. Suppose X and Y are independent N(0, 1) random variables. Find  $P(X^2 + Y^2 < 1)$ .

解答: Note that  $X^2 + Y^2 \sim \chi_2^2$ . Thus  $P(X^2 + Y^2 < 1) = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-x/2}}{2} \, \mathrm{d}x = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} = 0.3935.$ 

- 3. Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are jointly continuous and independent, each distributed with marginal pdf f(x), where each  $X_i$  represents annual rainfall at a given location.
  - (a) Find the distribution of the number of years until the first year's rainfall,  $X_1$ , is exceeded for the first time.
  - (b) Show that the mean number of years until  $X_1$  is exceeded for the first is infinite.

解答:

(a) Let N denote the number of years until the first year's rainfall,  $X_1$ , is exceeded for the first time. Then, for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$P(N = n - 1) = \int_0^\infty P(X_1 = x, X_2 \le x, \dots, X_{n-1} \le x, X_n > x | X_1 = x) f(x) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^\infty F^{n-2}(x)(1 - F(x))f(x) dx \qquad (u = F(x))$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^1 u^{n-2}(1 - u) du$$
  
= 
$$\left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

or equivalently,

$$P(N \ge n-1) = \int_0^\infty P(X_1 = x, X_2 \le x, \dots, X_{n-1} \le x | X_1 = x) f(x) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^\infty F^{n-2}(x) f(x) dx \qquad (u = F(x))$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^1 u^{n-2} du$$
  
= 
$$\frac{1}{n-1}$$

- (b)  $E[N] = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(N \ge n-1) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n-1} = \infty$
- 4. What is the probability that the larger of two continuous iid random variables will exceed the population median? Generalize this result to sample of size n.
  - 解答: Let m denote the median. Then, for general n we have

$$P(\max(X_1, \dots, X_n) > m) = 1 - P(X_i \le m \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$
$$= 1 - P(X_1 \le m)^n = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n.$$

5. Let  $U_i, i = 1, 2, ...$ , be independent uniform(0, 1) random variables, and let X have distribution

$$P(X = x) = \frac{c}{x!}, \quad x = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where c = 1/(e - 1). Find the distribution of

$$Z = \min\{U_1, \ldots, U_X\}.$$

解答:

$$P(Z > z) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} P(Z > z|x) P(X = x) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} P(U_1 > z, \dots, U_x > z|x) P(X = x)$$
  
=  $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{x} P(U_i > z) P(X = x)$  (by independence of the  $U_i$ 's)  
=  $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} P(U_i > z)^x P(X = x) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (1 - z)^x \frac{1}{(e - 1)x!}$   
=  $\frac{1}{(e - 1)} \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - z)^x}{x!} = \frac{e^{1 - z} - 1}{e - 1}, \quad 0 < z < 1.$ 

6. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables with densities

$$f_{X_i}(x|\sigma) = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta - x} & \text{if } x \ge i\theta, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < i\theta. \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $T = \min_i (X_i/i)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

解答: By the Factorization Theorem,  $T(X) = \min_i(X_i/i)$  is sufficient because we can write the joint pdf of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  as

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{i\theta - x_i} I_{(i\theta,+\infty)}(x_i) = \underbrace{e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^n i} I_{(\theta,+\infty)}(T(x))}_{g(T(x)|\theta)} \cdot \underbrace{e^{-\sum x_i}}_{h(x)}.$$

Notice, we use the fact that i > 0, and the fact that all  $x_i s > i\theta$  if and only if  $\min(X_i/i) > \theta$ .

7. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a sample from the inverse Gaussian pdf,

$$f(x|\mu,\lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}\right)^{1/2} \exp\{-\lambda(x-\mu)^2/(2\mu^2 x)\}, \quad x > 0.$$

Find the MLEs of  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ .

解答: The likelihood is

$$L(\mu,\lambda|x) = \frac{\lambda^{n/2}}{(2\pi)^n \prod_i x_i} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_i \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\mu^2 x_i}\right\}.$$

For fixed  $\lambda$ , maximizing with respect to  $\mu$  is equivalent to minimizing the sum in the exponential.

$$\frac{d}{d\mu}\sum_{i}\frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{\mu^2 x_i} = \frac{d}{d\mu}\sum_{i}\frac{((x_i/\mu)-1)^2}{x_i} = -\sum_{i}\frac{2((x_i/\mu)-1)}{x_i}\frac{x_i}{\mu^2}.$$

Setting this equal to zero is equivalent to setting

$$\sum_{i} \left( \frac{x_i}{\mu} - 1 \right) = 0,$$

and solving for  $\mu$  yields  $\hat{\mu}_n = \bar{x}$ . Plugging in this  $\hat{\mu}_n$  and maximizing with respect to  $\lambda$  amounts to maximizing an expression of the form  $\lambda^{n/2}e^{-\lambda b}$ . Simple calculus yields

$$\hat{\lambda}_n = \frac{n}{2b}$$
 where  $b = \sum_i \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{2\bar{x}^2 x_i}$ 

Finally,

$$2b = \sum_{i} \frac{x_i}{\bar{x}^2} - 2\sum_{i} \frac{1}{x_i} + \sum_{i} \frac{1}{x_i} = -\frac{n}{\bar{x}} + \sum_{i} \frac{1}{x_i} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{1}{x_i} - \frac{1}{\bar{x}}\right).$$

8. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from a population with pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{2\theta}, \quad -\theta < x < \theta, \ \theta > 0$$

Find, if one exists, a best unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

解答: To find a best unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , first find a complete sufficient statistic. The joint pdf is

$$f(x|\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta}\right)^n \prod_i I_{(-\theta,\theta)}(x_i) = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta}\right)^n I_{[0,\theta)}(\max_i |x_i|).$$

By the Factorization theorem,  $\max_i |X_i|$  is a sufficient statistic. To check that it is a complete sufficient statistic, let  $Y = \max_i |X_i|$ . Note that the pdf of Y is  $f_Y(y) = ny^{n-1}/\theta^n$ ,  $0 < y < \theta$ . Suppose g(y) is a function such that

$$E[g(Y)] = \int_0^\theta \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n} g(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = 0, \quad \text{for all } \theta$$

Talking derivatives shows that  $\theta^{n-1}g(\theta) = 0$ , for all  $\theta$ . So  $g(\theta) = 0$ , for all  $\theta$ , and  $Y = \max_i |X_i|$  is a complete sufficient statistic. Now

$$E[Y] = \int_0^\theta y \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n} \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad E\left[\frac{n+1}{n}Y\right] = \theta.$$

Therefore  $\frac{n+1}{n} \max_i |X_i|$  is a best unbiased estimator for  $\theta$  because it is a function of a complete sufficient statistic.

9. Show that for a random sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from a  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  population, the most

powerful test of  $H_0: \sigma = \sigma_0$  versus  $H_1: \sigma = \sigma_1$ , is given by

$$\phi\left(\sum X_i^2\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum X_i^2 > c, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum X_i^2 \le c. \end{cases}$$

For a given value of  $\alpha$ , the size of the Type I Error, show how the value of c is explicitly determined.

解答: From the Neyman-Pearson lemma the UMP test rejects  $H_0$  if

$$\frac{f(x|\sigma_1)}{f(x|\sigma_0)} = \frac{(2\pi\sigma_1^2)^{-n/2}e^{-\sum_i x_i^2/(2\sigma_1^2)}}{(2\pi\sigma_0^2)^{-n/2}e^{-\sum_i x_i^2/(2\sigma_0^2)}} = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)^n \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i x_i^2\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)\right\} > k$$

for some  $k \ge 0$ . After some algebra, this is equivalent to rejecting if

$$\sum_{i} x_i^2 > \frac{2\log(k(\sigma_1/\sigma_0)^n)}{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)} = c \quad \left(\text{because } \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} > 0\right).$$

This is the UMP test of size  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = P_{\sigma_0}(\sum_i X_i^2 > c)$ . To determine c to obtain a specified  $\alpha$ , use the fact that  $\sum_i X_i^2 / \sigma_0^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ . Thus

$$\alpha = P_{\sigma_0} \left( \sum_i X_i^2 / \sigma_0^2 > c / \sigma_0^2 \right) = P(\chi_n^2 > c / \sigma_0^2),$$

so we must have  $c/\sigma_0^2 = \chi_{n,\alpha}^2$ , which means  $c = \sigma_0^2 \chi_{n,\alpha}^2$ .

10. If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid from a location pdf  $f(x - \theta)$ , show that the confidence set

$$C(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \{\theta : \bar{x} - k_1 \le \theta \le \bar{x} + k_2\},\$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants, has constant coverage probability.

解答:

$$P_{\theta}(\theta \in C(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = P_{\theta}(\bar{X} - k_1 \le \theta \le \bar{X} + k_2)$$
$$= P_{\theta}(-k_2 \le \bar{X} - \theta \le k_1)$$
$$= P_{\theta}\left(-k_2 \le \sum Z_i/n \le k_1\right)$$

where  $Z_i = X_i - \theta$ , i = 1, ..., n. Since this is a location family, for any  $\theta$ ,  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  are iid with pdf f(z), i. e., the  $Z_i$ s are pivots. So the last probability does not depend on  $\theta$ .